

The Impact Of Governance Toward Tolerance Among Youth In The Manufacturing Area

¹ KHAIROL ANUAR, KAMRI

² AIZATHUL HANI, ABD HAMID

³ KHAIRUL AZMAN, MOHAMAD SUHAIMY

⁴ MD ROSLI, ISMAIL

^{1,2,3} Pusat Pengajian Umum dan Kokurikulum, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

khairol@uthm.edu.my

⁴ Fakulti Pendidikan dan Sains Sosial, Universiti Selangor

mdrosli@unisel.edu.my

Introduction

- Exclusively in policy and resource distribution may harm the tolerance
- Injustices in the governance management can have an impact on ethnic dissatisfaction
- Eg: Economic recession, slow economic development and rising unemployment
- Good governance management is important to preserve the ethnic tolerance
- list that should be take attention to avoid ethnic conflict risk:
 - ethnic conflict history
 - unstable socio-economy
 - unbalance governance management


Introduction

- Pasir Gudang
 - conflict ethnic tension around 2007
 - 50 percent of its population is B40 group (household with income below RM3000.00±)
 - issue in their governance management when air and water pollution happened in Pasir Gudang in 2019
- identify the relationship of governance on ethnic tolerance among youth in Pasir Gudang, Johor
- to predict ethnic tension in Pasir Gudang, Johor.

Methodology

- 362 respondent multi-ethnic youth (Malay 60%, Chinese 25%, Indian 15%)
- randomly chosen by using stratified sampling technique involving 10 youth from 45 Pasir Gudang Neighbourhood Association
- Data analysed by SEM-PLS (SMART PLS 3.0)

Conceptual Framework



Result (Responden Profile)

Respondent Profile Variable		Frequency	Percent
Gender	Male	178	49.2
	Female	184	50.8
Ethnicities	Malay	215	59.4
	Chinese	89	24.6
	Indian	58	16.0
Age	15 to 18	306	84.5
	19 to 24	13	3.6
	25 to 30	43	11.9
Religion	Islam	214	59.1
	Hindu	53	14.6
	Buddha	75	20.7
	Cristian	18	5.0
	Others	2	0.6

Result (Measurement Model)

First Order Construct	Second Order Construct	Item	Loading	AVE	CR	Alpha
Acknowledgment		e1	0.88	0.77	0.91	0.85
		e2	0.89			
		e3	0.85			
Comfortability		e4	0.85	0.70	0.87	0.87
		e5	0.87			
		e6	0.78			
Accepting		e7	0.86	0.67	0.86	0.76
		e8	0.85			
		e9	0.73			
Sharing		e10	0.85	0.67	0.80	0.52
		e11	*deleted			
		e12	0.78			
Pride		e13	0.80	0.68	0.86	0.76
		e14	0.81			
		e15	0.86			
	Tolerance	Acknowledgment	0.75	0.61	0.83	0.81
		Comfortability	0.77			
		Accepting	0.80			
		Sharing	0.71			
		Pride	0.78			

Cont.

First order construct	Second order construct	item	loading	AVE	CR	Alpha
Economy		h1	0.88	0.73	0.89	0.82
		h2	0.89			
		h3	0.89			
Facility		h4	0.87	0.73	0.89	0.82
		h5	0.90			
		h6	0.80			
Public services		h7	0.79	0.70	0.88	0.79
		h8	0.79			
		h9	0.87			
Diversity Ethnicity Management		h10	0.81	0.71	0.88	0.80
		h11	0.87			
		h12	0.85			
	Governance	Economy	0.79	0.57	0.81	0.80
		Facility	0.81			
		Public Services	0.76			
		Diversity Ethnic Management	0.84			

Discriminant Model

	ACK	FAC	TOL	ACC	COM	DEM	ECO	GOV	PRI	PSE	SHA
ACK											
FAC	0.839										
TOL	0.687	0.807									
ACC	0.218	0.159	0.835								
COM	0.341	0.13	0.684	0.76							
DEM	0.251	0.62	0.308	0.116	0.738						
ECO	0.096	0.606	0.243	0.118	0.302	0.7					
GOV	0.195	0.503	0.283	0.135	0.243	0.386	0.811				
PRI	0.431	0.177	0.963	0.529	0.697	0.317	0.213	0.476			
PSE	0.188	0.792	0.229	0.076	0.176	0.579	0.646	0.122	0.558		
SHA	0.404	0.233	1.114	0.743	0.488	0.328	0.27	0.301	0.455	0.219	

Structural Model

	Beta Value	Std error	t-value	Decision
Tolerance -> Acknowledgement	0.53	0.06	8.07***	Significant
Tolerance -> accepting	0.73	0.03	22.33***	Significant
Tolerance -> comfortability	0.84	0.02	39.26***	Significant
Tolerance -> pride	0.80	0.02	35.00***	Significant
Tolerance -> sharing	0.77	0.03	25.46***	Significant
governance -> Facility	0.79	0.02	30.20***	Significant
governance -> Tolerance	0.22	0.05	3.61***	Significant
governance -> multi-ethnic management	0.85	0.01	48.22***	Significant
governance -> economy	0.78	0.02	29.42***	Significant
governance -> public services	0.87	0.01	55.83***	Significant

Discussion

- There is a positive relation of governance towards ethnic tolerance among youth in Pasir Gudang, Johor.
- Youth in the industrial area can build tolerance as long as their federal or local governance gives them the necessary support for them to face economic crisis
- The local and federal governments must control social exclusion to build a tolerance attitude in society.

Conclusion

- The globalization and modernization area cause economic competition among youth to survive
- Good governance is crucial
- This study finds out that governance significantly has the predict power to the tolerance attitude among youth
- policy maker must ensuring the inclusion policy when deals with diversity society to preserve the tolerance

THANK YOU